Supplementary Material

Bayesian active learning of neural firing rate maps with transformed Gaussian process priors Mijung Park, J. Patrick Weller, Gregory D. Horwitz, & Jonathan W. Pillow (2014) Neural Computation 26(8):1519-1541.

Appendix A

Efficient evidence optimization for hyperparameters

For efficient optimization of hyper parameters, we decompose the posterior moments $(\mathbf{f}_{map}, \Sigma)$ into terms that depend on ϕ and terms that do not via a Gaussian approximation to the likelihood. The logic here is that a Gaussian posterior and prior imply a likelihood function proportional to a Gaussian, which in turn allows prior and posterior moments to be computed analytically for each ϕ . This trick is similar to that of the EP algorithm [1]: we divide a Gaussian component out of the Gaussian posterior and approximate the remainder as Gaussian. The resulting moments are $H = \Sigma^{-1} - K^{-1}$ for the likelihood inverse-covariance (which is the Hessian of log-likelihood), and $\mathbf{m} = H^{-1}(\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{f}_{map} - K^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{f}})$ for the likelihood mean, which comes from the standard formula for the product of two Gaussians.

The algorithm for evidence optimization proceeds as follows: (1) given the current hyperparameters ϕ_i , numerically maximize the posterior and form the Laplace approximation $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{map}_i}, \Sigma_i)$; (2) compute the Gaussian "potential" $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}_i, H_i)$ underlying the likelihood, given the current values of $(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{map}_i}, \Sigma_i, \phi_i)$, as described above; (3) Find ϕ_{i+1} by maximizing the log-evidence, which is:

$$\mathcal{E}(\phi) = \mathbf{r}^T \log(g(\mathbf{f_{map}})) - \mathbf{1}^T g(\mathbf{f_{map}}) - \frac{1}{2} \log|KH_i + I| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{f_{map}} - \boldsymbol{\mu_f})^T K^{-1} (\mathbf{f_{map}} - \boldsymbol{\mu_f}),$$
(1)

where \mathbf{f}_{map} and Σ are updated using H_i and \mathbf{m}_i obtained in step (2), i.e. $\mathbf{f}_{map} = \Sigma(H_i\mathbf{m}_i + K^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_f)$ and $\Sigma = (H_i + K^{-1})^{-1}$. Note that this significantly expedites evidence optimization since we do not have to numerically optimize \mathbf{f}_{map} for each ϕ .

Appendix B

Gauss-Hermite quadrature to compute Gaussian integrals

Gauss-Hermite quadrature is to approximate the value of integrals:

$$\int \exp(-x^2) t(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^n w_i t(x_i), \tag{2}$$

where n is the number of sample points used and x_i are the roots of the Hermite polynomial $H_n(x_i)$, which is given by

$$H_n(x_i) = (-1)^n \exp(x_i^2) \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x_i^n} \exp(-x_i^2), \tag{3}$$

and the weights are defined by

$$w_i = \frac{2^{n-1}n!\sqrt{\pi}}{n^2[H_{n-1}(x_i)]^2}.$$
(4)

Gauss-Hermite quadrature for a Gaussian random variable $f \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is given by,

$$\mathbb{E}[t(f)] = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{(f-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) t(f) \, df \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \, t(\mu + \sqrt{2\sigma}f_i).$$
(5)

We can use Gauss-Hermite quadrature to transform the variance of $f \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ into the variance of $\lambda = g(f)$ as below:

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda] = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{(f-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) g(f) df \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i g(\mu + \sqrt{2\sigma}f_i),$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda^2] = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{(f-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) g^2(f) df \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i g^2(\mu + \sqrt{2\sigma}f_i),$$

$$\mathbb{V}[\lambda] = \mathbb{E}^2[\lambda] - \mathbb{E}[\lambda^2].$$
(6)

Appendix C

Pseudocode for varmin learning

This pseudocode selects a new stimulus for the next trial given the current posterior mean and covariance of f. In pseudocode, we use the Gauss-Hermite quadrature for the transformation of the variance from f to λ , which can be done using a pre-computed lookup table.

input: posterior mean and covariance $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}^*|\boldsymbol{\mu}_t, \Lambda_t)$, compute total posterior variance of λ and choose a next stimulus **for** i=1:M **do** grid points $\{\mathbf{x}_i^*\}_{i=1}^M$ for representing the posterior over f **for** j=1:N **do** candidate points $\{\mathbf{x}_j'\}_{j=1}^N$ $\Pi(i, j) := \sigma_t^2(i) - \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\mu'(j)}\Lambda_t^2(i,j)}{1+\mathcal{J}_{\mu'(j)}\sigma_t^2(j)}$, update the posterior variance of f **end for end for** $\mathbb{V}(\lambda_i|\mathcal{D}_t, r', \mathbf{x}_j') := \text{GHQuad}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_t(i), \Pi(i, j))$, using eq. 6 $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \arg\min_{\{\mathbf{x}_j'\}_{j=1}^N} \sum_{i=1}^M \mathbb{V}(\lambda_i|\mathcal{D}_t, r', \mathbf{x}_j')$, select a stimulus \mathbf{x}_{t+1}

return a new stimulus to present \mathbf{x}_{t+1} at time t + 1.

References

 T. P. Minka. Expectation propagation for approximate bayesian inference. In UAI '01: Proceedings of the 17th Conference in Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence, pages 362– 369, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2001. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.